

Submission to the Third Dialogue of the UAE Just Transition Work Programme

Approaches to enhance adaptation and climate resilience in the context of just transitions

May 2025



The Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment was established in 2008 at the London School of Economics and Political Science. The Institute brings together international expertise on economics, as well as finance, geography, the environment, international development and political economy to establish a world-leading centre for policy-relevant research, teaching and training in climate change and the environment. It is funded by the Grantham Foundation for the Protection of the Environment, which also funds the Grantham Institute – Climate Change and the Environment at Imperial College London. www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute

About this submission

This submission responds to a call for views on the dialogues under the United Arab Emirates (UAE) Just Transition Work Programme (JTWP). Specifically, it refers to the message by the Chairs of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) that the topic of the third dialogue which will be held on 22-23 May 2025 is: "Approaches to enhancing adaptation and climate resilience in the context of just transitions." This submission aims to inform the dialogue and subsequent work on this topic under the JTWP.

About the authors

This submission draws on work produced across the Grantham Research Institute, including the adaptation and resilience team, the Climate Change Laws of the World project, and the Just Transition Finance Lab. Jodi-Ann Wang coordinated the preparation of inputs for this submission which were reviewed by Timo Leiter. The authors of each section are listed below.

Introduction and sections 1-3: Timo Leiter (Distinguished Policy Fellow)

Section 4: Denyse S. Dookie (Research Fellow)

Section 5: Tiffanie Chan (Policy Analyst)

Section 6: Kate Gannon (Assistant Professorial Research Fellow)

Section 7: Jodi-Ann Wang (Policy Fellow)

The views expressed in this report represent those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the host institutions or funders. The authors declare no conflict of interest in the preparation of this report.

This paper was first published in May 2025 by the Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment.

© The authors, 2025

Licensed under CC BY-NC 4.0. Commercial permissions requests should be directed to gri@lse.ac.uk.

Suggested citation: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment (2025) *Submission to the Third Dialogue of the UAE Just Transition Work Programme. Approaches to enhance adaptation and climate resilience in the context of just transitions.* London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science. https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/publications

Summary

Equity and justice considerations have been recognised in the adaptation literature for over 25 years (e.g. Adger, 1999; Paavola & Adger, 2006; Pelling, 2011). A dedicated institutional landscape on adaptation has evolved under the Convention and the Paris Agreement (UNFCCC, 2024a). Work on adaptation under the Just Transition Work Programme (JTWP) should therefore complement rather than duplicate existing structures and processes. At the national level, the primary entry point are national adaptation governance arrangements, especially the process of formulating and implementing National Adaptation Plans (NAPs).

Among the elements of the JTWP, social protection systems offer untapped potential to safeguard poor and marginalised communities that are often most exposed to climate risks. The JTWP could further explore and share experiences about ways to scale up, finance and enhance social protection systems to foster equitable adaptation. Further, limitations of widespread availability of appropriately scaled weather and climate information, as well as barriers hindering its effective use and uptake for decision-making in local contexts, impede inclusive and equitable distribution of information which challenges just and resilient outcomes. The JTWP could focus on ways to enhance access to and uptake of climate information through encouraging institutional awareness of these linkages and emphasising the need for capacity-building in this area.

International cooperation has been key in capturing the diversity of just transition needs amongst countries, and in engaging in collective debates about the need for means of implementation. Climate finance can be a lever for just and resilient outcomes. But if the quality of climate finance is neglected, it risks becoming a new source of injustice or perpetuation of maladaptation.

Context and aim of the submission

The Glasgow Climate Pact as adopted at COP26 in November 2021 recognises:

"the need to ensure just transitions that promote sustainable development and eradication of poverty, and the creation of decent work and quality jobs, including through making financial flows consistent with a pathway towards low greenhouse gas emission and climate-resilient development, including through deployment and transfer of technology, and provision of support to developing country Parties" (Decision 1/CMA.3, para 85).

COP27 in November 2022 in Sharm el-Sheikh established the JTWP (Decision 1/CMA.4, para 52) and COP28 confirmed its objective "shall be the discussion of pathways to achieving the goals of the Paris Agreement" (Decision 3/CMA.5, para 1). Seven elements of the JTWP were decided including "Approaches to enhancing adaptation and climate resilience at the national and international level" and at least two dialogues are to be held each year (Decision 3/CMA.5, paras 2 & 5). The Chairs of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) decide the topic for each dialogue, taking into account submissions by parties and observers. The topic of the third dialogue that will take place from 22-23 May 2025 will be "Approaches to enhancing adaptation and climate resilience in the context of just transitions". Parties and observer organizations are invited to submit "views on opportunities, best practices, actionable solutions, challenges and barriers" relevant to the topic of each dialogue (Decision 3/CMA.5, para 8). This submission responds to this call for submissions.

The aim of this submission is to inform the agenda and content of the upcoming dialogue on adaptation and resilience and the coverage of this topic under the JTWP. It follows previous submissions by the Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and Environment and the Just Transition Finance Lab¹ on suggested topics for the first year of the JTWP (Wang & Robins, 2024) and recommendations for the second dialogue (Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, 2024).The Grantham Research Institute has also made regular submissions on the negotiations under the global goal on adaptation (Leiter, 2023a; 2024a,b) and on adaptation finance (Leiter, 2023b).

¹ See: https://justtransitionfinance.org/

1. Justice and equity have long been recognised as important pillars of adaptation

Matters of justice, equity and sustainable development are not new to the adaptation discourse. The importance of social vulnerability was recognised in the adaptation literature over twenty-five years ago (Adger, 1999). The close linkage to sustainable development and to poverty eradication is equally well established (Adger et al., 2003; Eriksen & O`Brien, 2007; Tanner & Mitchell, 2008; Ayers & Dodman, 2010; Brown, 2011). For over fifteen years, equity and justice have been highlighted as important considerations of adaptation (Paavola & Adger, 2006; Pelling, 2011; Pelling & Garschagen, 2019). Accordingly, the Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has anchored justice and equity implications as central pillars of successful adaptation (IPCC, 2022; see chapters 1.4 and 17.5.1). There is, therefore, no need to reinvent the wheel by adding a prefix ('just') before the term adaptation. Good adaptation planning and practice should by default consider matters of social vulnerability and equity, as has been reinforced by the IPCC AR6 and by several principles for good adaptation, including in the Adaptation Gap Report (UNEP, 2022, Box 5.1).

Introducing a new buzz word ('just adaptation') would merely add to an already complex web of concepts and terms that make it difficult for decision makers, funders and practitioners to understand adaptation. In fact, there is already considerable debate and at times confusion about the meaning and relationship between incremental and transformational adaptation², maladaptation and resilience. The coverage of adaptation by the JTWP should therefore not focus on the promotion of a new buzz word but should explore how social justice and equity considerations can be further strengthened where transition processes respond to experienced and expected climate risks.

2. Complement rather than duplicate existing adaptation processes

Adaptation to climate change has a long history under the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement as showcased during the side event "30 years of adaptation" at COP29³ and the accompanying report by the Adaptation Committee (UNFCCC, 2024a). Over time, numerous bodies, committees and programmes have been established for adaptation at the international level (Schipper, 2005; Leiter, 2022). These existing structures are further interconnected with those for finance, capacity building, technology transfer, and loss and damage (see Figure 1). Adaptation would not be enhanced by creating additional bodies and parallel fora on adaptation in transition processes. Instead, matters of adaptation that are specific to just transitions should be integrated into the comprehensive existing institutional network on adaptation that is shown in Figure 1. Indeed, the decision that established the JTWP explicitly states that this work programme is "to be implemented in a manner that builds on and complements the relevant workstreams under the Convention and the Paris Agreement" (Decision 1/CMA.4, para 52).

² See e.g. the technical paper by the secretariat: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/tp2024_08.pdf

³ The event was moderated by GRI expert Timo Leiter. A recording of the event is available here: https://unfccc.int/event/30-years-of-adaptationbuilding-on-the-past-to-get-ready-for-the-future-an-event-by-the-adaptation

Figure 1: The adaptation landscape under the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement.



Source: Adopted from UNFCCC (2024, Figure 8).

The overarching process for adaptation at the national level is the process of formulating and implementing National Adaptation Plans (NAPs). Initially established for least developed countries in 2010, the NAP process is recognised in the Paris Agreement as an instrument for all countries (Article 7.9).⁴ As of 2021, more than 70 countries had adopted a dedicated national adaptation planning document and at least 40 countries had updated it twice as of 2024 (Leiter, 2021; UNEP, 2024, chapter 2). Importantly, the NAP process is not just about creating a planning document but about integrating adaptation "within all relevant sectors and at different levels, as appropriate" (Decision 2/CP.17, paragraph 1). As such, the NAP process should include all relevant topics including adaptation in transition processes. In fact, several dozen supplementary materials to the NAP Technical Guidelines have been published on the UNFCCC web portal 'NAP Central' which could also refer to any existing or new resources in relation to adaptation in just transitions.⁵

The importance of avoiding duplications and additional demands on countries (such as calling for the development of "just transition plans" for adaptation) is further underscored by the persisting capacity gaps in many developing countries, especially the least developed countries and small island developing states

⁴ See: https://unfccc.int/national-adaptation-plans

⁵ See: https://www.napcentral.org/supplementary-materials-library

(SIDS) (see UNEP, 2024, chapters 4 and 5). Government capacities need to be strengthened rather than stretched by introducing new terms.

3. Enhancing social protection for adaptation

Social protection has long been recognised for its potential to support adaptation and reduce inequalities (Davies et al., 2009). Accordingly, "social protection and the recognition of labour rights" is among the elements to be addressed by the JTWP (Decision 3/CMA.5, para 2e). The World Social Protection Report 2024–26 found that 3.8 billion people are still without any social protection and those most exposed to climate risks have the least access (ILO, 2024). Research including by the Grantham Research Institute has therefore called for social protection systems to be designed in a way that helps vulnerable communities to respond to climate risks and that helps reduce the causes of social vulnerability (Tenzing, 2020). Importantly, social protection systems including conditional cash transfers cannot be assumed to automatically be effective against climate risks (Arena et al., 2023) They have to be designed or adjusted in a way that accounts for climate risks alongside other risks faced by poor and marginalised population groups. Tenzing (2020) suggests that social protection systems "should enable the adoption of forward-looking strategies for long-lasting adaptation" (p.1). The JTWP could further explore and share experiences about ways to scale up, finance and enhance social protection systems to foster equitable adaptation.

4. Enhancing Access to and Uptake of Climate Information to facilitate a just transition

The use of weather and climate information (WCI) is a vital but under-emphasised driving factor for adaptation. Encompassing a range of data across various geographies and timescales that is both scientific as well as derived from local knowledge (Singh et al., 2018), WCI is essential for facilitating both short-term and long-term planning and guiding policy. For instance, relevant WCI forms an important part of climate risk and vulnerability assessments, early warning systems (EWS) and early action, and informs climate-proofed infrastructure and services, strategies for risk transfer (such as through insurance and social protection), as well as offering context to access public and private finance (Dookie, 2024).

By leveraging WCI, communities can better prepare for and respond to the challenges posed by a changing climate. However, there are key barriers to WCI access, use and uptake (Dookie et al., 2023), including a lack of awareness of the existence or utility of WCI and how to interpret and apply it within specific contexts. WCI may not be available at appropriate temporal and/or spatial scales (for instance either high resolution/low frequency or low resolution/high frequency data) or not locally relevant (for instance in small island contexts). Another key barrier relates to challenges of formats and timeframes which may not align with user needs creating a 'usability gap'. Furthermore, uncertainty over which climate models best fit in a particular context pose challenges for decision makers (Dookie et al., 2019; and see the identification of suitable climate models in Tanzania⁶). In some contexts, illiteracy and lack of access to communication tools, or delivery of information in ways which are not locally appropriate, may hinder the dissemination of climate information, and thereby uptake and use. This may be combined with limited opportunities for engagement with WCI providers or boundary organisations to ask questions and clarify information. There is also a growing awareness of the role of traditional knowledge, and in some cases, there may be a preference for elder-led approaches over science-based ones. In contexts where there may be an engaged awareness and interest to disseminate WCI and encourage use, challenges of resource limitations such as a lack of funding, technical expertise, or infrastructure to generate, disseminate, and best utilise WCI can hinder effective action. In many contexts, there are fragmented data sources making it difficult to access and understand a

⁶ See https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/publication/future-climate-projections-for-tanzania/

comprehensive dataset, perhaps due, in part, weak institutional frameworks and supportive data policies to encourage the integration and use of WCI for policy planning and decision-making purposes. As well, it could be difficult for some decision-makers to use WCI effectively if there may be a lack of actionable alternatives or choices to adapt practices and policies. In addition, there are concerns about the gendered dimensions of climate information awareness and use for decision-making, noting that women may be more unaware of the relevance of WCI for their areas of business/entrepreneurship (Vincent & Dookie, 2025).

The context of a just transition, which emphasises fairness and equity in addressing climate challenges and ensures that vulnerable populations are not left behind, is inextricably linked to conditions which enhance the gathering, dissemination, access, use and uptake of climate information. For instance, mapping flood-prone areas can inform the development of early warning systems and evacuation plans, prioritising the safety of at-risk populations. Minimising the above-mentioned barriers and fostering related enabling conditions for WCI can facilitate a just transition. For instance, increasing the access and use of locally relevant and actionable WCI empowers communities to take agency, including those most vulnerable to climate impacts, by strengthening their knowledge base and enabling them to make informed decisions to respond effectively to climate risk. Therefore, strengthening awareness, availability and access of WCI are vital to achieving resilience within a just transition in ways that are inclusive and equitable.

5. Supporting domestic institutions and processes to implement NAPs

Communities are increasingly turning to courts to challenge governments that have failed to protect them from physical risks of climate change (Setzer and Higham, 2024). These cases explicitly raise human rights issues. For example, in *Josefina Huffington Archbold v. Office of the President and Others*, a citizen challenged the Colombian government's inadequate response to Hurricane lota, particularly the delays and failures in reconstruction and adaptation planning, alleging that these violated her rights to a dignified life, health and housing. The Court highlighted that beyond emergency response measures, the impacts of climate change also demand long-term adaptation strategies grounded in principles of equity (Setzer and Higham, forthcoming).

Countries need to have strong domestic institutions and processes in place to support the successful delivery of planned adaptation strategies and programmes. NAPs, and matters of social vulnerability and equity, cut across many sectors and levels of governance. There is a need for mechanisms that enhance integration and improve coherence among policies (Sridhar et al., 2022). There is increasing evidence that at the domestic level, climate framework legislation can help facilitate a whole-of-society approach (Averchenkova et al., 2024a; Averchenkova et al., 2024b; Averchenkova et al., 2021). Giving public bodies a clear mandate to operate in way that is aligned not only with broad based climate goals, but also specific climate plans and policies can have positive benefits for climate action (Averchenkova et al., 2024a; 2024b).

Research from the Grantham Research Institute on the impact of climate legislation has also found that setting binding commitments to regular strategic planning and reporting strengthens political accountability and facilitates more frequent public debate on climate action (Averchenkova et al., 2024a, 2024b). The cycle of planning and progress reporting ensures regular evaluation of performance, whilst maintaining flexibility to course correct when not on track (Leiter, 2021). However, such laws, and research on them have thus far focused more on mitigation considerations (Rumble, 2019) and impacts in developed economies. In the same manner that matters of adaptation that are specific to just transitions should be integrated into the existing UNFCCC institutional network (see section 2), at the domestic level, depending on a country's legal system, there may be opportunities to leverage existing governance processes designed for mitigation, to help prioritise adaptation, equity and social protection, into public decision-making.

6. Inclusive and gender responsive climate adaptation to maximise adaptation among – and through – small businesses

Entrepreneurship and small businesses underpin livelihoods in economies, particularly in the Global South, where they are often the most realistic form of employment for women, youth and other disadvantaged groups (Crick et al., 2018). Yet small and medium enterprises (SMEs) are often highly exposed to climate risk and lack many of the business enabling resources required to support adaptation (ibid). This means that even moderate changes in climate conditions can produce significant, but under-recognised, consequences for SMEs that cascade across other sectors from the direct and indirect effects of climate events (Gannon et al., 2025, 2018; Siderius et al., 2018)

Women entrepreneurs often face additional barriers to adapting to climate risks within their business – shaped by factors such as more limited access to finance, and additional challenges accessing technologies and markets – and face a 'triple differential vulnerability' to climate risk (Gannon et al., 2022). These differential barriers to adaptation are reinforced by climate and adaptation policies which tend to recognise and provide for only a limited range of businesses and production models. In particular, private sector adaptation policies have tended to focus primarily on the needs of larger and formal businesses, with less consideration given to smaller businesses operating in the informal sector, which often dominate the enterprise landscapes in developing countries (Gannon et al., 2020).

Focus on enhancing adaptive capacity for SMEs, especially in the Global South, is fundamental for achieving resilience in the context of just transitions. This salience is evidenced through the way in which SMEs are strongly integrated into communities and play a prominent role in household poverty reduction and social welfare. But there is also evidence to suggest that SMEs can also be strategic actors in upscaling equitable climate resilience and just transition pathways.

Key adaptation goods and services that support wider societal resilience are delivered through SMEs – including by and for those businesses in highly vulnerable, informal businesses in agricultural value chains, who are frequently excluded from more formal business networks (Gannon et al., 2021). SMEs, including SMEs in the informal (unregistered) sector, therefore form an important, but under-recognised aspect of the private sector for adaptation.

Additional private sector action in resilience building can be unlocked through multi-stakeholder partnerships (MSPs). These are collaborative arrangements between at least one private organisation and one public or one civil society organisation. Through investment in areas such as research, data access, relationship-building, access to finance and business incubation, research has demonstrated the potential to scale up climate and development action among some of the most vulnerable private sector actors through MSPs, with important potential to plug gaps in adaptation and development finance (ibid).

Recent research has also begun demonstrating empirically the value of enhancing gender inclusive enabling environments for private sector adaptation. Analysis of SME survey data in Kenya and Senegal suggested businesses with female and gender-diverse leadership were more likely to be adapting to climate change in ways that safeguard long-term business resilience than male-only led businesses (Gannon et al., 2025). This research also found that, among the SMEs surveyed, adaptation funding and business support delivered better results for businesses with female leaders, than businesses with only male leadership. This suggests gender-inclusive business policies could be a game-changer for climate resilience and one of the most efficient ways to scale up adaptation to climate change.

7. Adaptation and resilience as a core pillar of financing just transitions

Finance is a cornerstone of the global just transition – and must be seen as not merely a technical solution but also a strong political imperative. It defines the contours of transitions towards just and resilient outcomes. While much of the attention under financing a just transition has rightly focused on energy, labour, and related social protection incentives, adaptation and resilience are foundational yet often overlooked. This is particularly the case for communities in the Global South that face existential climate risks while also facing very limited independent access to finance. As such, it is imperative to ensure that climate finance meaningfully enables adaptation. The following highlight areas of consideration for just transition financing in this regard.

The quantity and quality of climate finance for adaptation

The 2023 UNEP Adaptation Gap Report revealed the stark and widening adaptation finance gap, with annual adaptation costs in developing countries reaching US\$215 billion this decade, while flows declined by 15 per cent to US\$21billion in 2021 (UNEP, 2023). This marked an adaptation finance gap of US\$194-366 billion per year. The 2024 UNEP Adaptation Gap Report highlighted that achieving the Glasgow Climate Pact goal would only reduce adaptation finance gap by about 5 per cent (UNEP, 2024). Moreover, there exists a persistent imbalance between mitigation and adaptation financing: in 2022, global adaptation finance reached US\$76 billion, this stands at only 5% of total climate finance flows (Climate Policy Initiative, 2024).

Importantly, the efficacy of climate finance should not only be evaluated by volume or quantity, but also by its quality (accessibility, debt sustainability, transparency, equity) (e.g. Leiter, 2023b). A growing body of research points to how debt-based finance mechanisms can create long-term over-indebtedness for vulnerable countries and communities, undermining the very resilience that is needed. Only a small fraction of adaptation finance is delivered through grants, with the majority delivered through loans and on non-concessional terms (Venner et al., 2024). A predominance of non-concessional finance for adaptation – and climate action in general – risks reinforcing unsustainable debt dynamics and limits the fiscal space for governments, particularly Small Island Developing States (SIDS) and Least Developed Countries (LDCs) to respond to future escalating climate shocks and pursue sustainable development (Catalano et al., 2020).

This creates a negative feedback loop: insufficient funding constrains countries to pursue suboptimal or minimal adaptation strategies, which are often debt-based, that fail to address the underlying, systemic aspects of vulnerabilities. As climate impacts intensify, maladaptive responses increase overall exposure and risk, which in turn drives up borrowing costs, impacts credit ratings, and ultimately further limit countries' ability to access finance (Leykun, 2024). In fact, research finds that climate vulnerability has "already raised the average cost of debt [in developing countries] by 117 basis points," this equates to US\$ 40 billion in additional interest payments over on public debt alone" in recent decades (Buhr et al., 2018, p. 2).

In fact, the world's poorest and most climate-vulnerable countries are already spending more than twice as much to service existing debt, compared to what they receive in climate finance (Leykun, 2024). The 50 countries most vulnerable to climate change are spending four times more on external debt payments than in 2010 (Debt Justice, 2024). These record-breaking figures call for a strong impetus for the JTWP to be aligned with ongoing deliberations for finance-related items under the Convention and the Paris Agreement. For adaptation and resilience to be a core anchor of the just transition agenda, finance must be needs-based, grant-based, and publicly accountable to most vulnerable communities.

Interconnected Justice and the Geographies of Adaptation

Policies for green transitions across socio-economic sectors play a key role in shifting the allocative behaviours of financial institutions in financing a just transition. Yet, neither just nor resilient outcomes can be siloed within national boundaries. The impact of adaptation and transition policies reverberate across borders, particularly within shared ecological regions and interconnected economic systems. This is core to the concept of interconnected justice, as conceptualised in a 2024 report by the Just Transition Finance Lab for the Taskforce on Net Zero Policy (Wang & Cerrato, 2024).

Adaptation infrastructure in one country may disrupt water flows or marine ecosystems in the downstream community in a neighbouring country not party to original consultation or decision-making process (Popova et al., 2019). Agricultural subsidies or resource extraction strategies in one region may result in displacement of farmers or fisherfolks in adjacent territories. A justice lens that includes transboundary, cross-border, interconnected ecosystem-level considerations is crucial.

Interconnected justice also requires that transition in one location not create or exacerbate injustices elsewhere. For finance, this means that financing mechanisms that not only respect national sovereignty but also co-developed approaches in project planning. A fragmentation in how adaptation in one jurisdiction can produce externalities or trade-offs in another can result in shifting rather than reducing overall climate risk. Embedding interconnected justice to the core architecture of financing just transitions in the context of adaptation means that resilience in one region should not come at the expense of communities elsewhere.

The current trajectory of international climate finance for the transition reveals retreats from multilateral governance, which sends a chilling effect and potential dilution of responsibilities amongst broader finance providers and investors. There is a risk that resilience – particularly in LDCs, SIDS, and conflict-affected regions – will be significant undermined. This weakening of finance commitment and delivery threatens the achievement of the goals of the Paris Agreement on the basis of equity.

References

- Adger N W (1999) Social Vulnerability to Climate Change and Extremes in Coastal Vietnam. *World Development*, 27(2), 249-269.
- Adger N W, Huq S, Brown K, Conway D, Hulme M (2003) Adaptation to climate change in the developing world. *Progress in Development Studies*, 3, 179–195.
- Arena M, Guasti A, Hussein H (2023). Can conditional cash transfers reduce vulnerability to climate Averchenkova A, Fankhauser S and Finnegan J (2021) The impact of strategic climate legislation: evidence from expert interviews on the UK Climate Change Act. Climate Policy 21(2):251–263.
- Averchenkova A, Higham C, Chan T, Keuschnigg I (2024a) *Impacts of Climate Framework Laws: lessons from Germany, Ireland and New Zealand*, London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science.
- Averchenkova A, Higham C, Chan T, Keuschnigg I (2024b) *Supplemental evidence on the impacts of climate framework laws.* London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science
- Ayers J and Dodman D (2010) Climate change adaptation and development I: the state of the debate. *Progress in Development Studies*, 10(2), 161–68.
- Brown K (2011) Sustainable adaptation: An oxymoron? Climate & Development, 3, 21-31.
- Buhr, B., Volz, U., Donovan, C., Kling, G., Lo, Y., Murinde, V., & Pullin, N. (2018). *Climate Change and the Cost of Capital in Developing Countries*. https://abdn.elsevierpure.com/en/publications/climate-change-and-the-cost-of-capital-in-developing-countries
- Catalano, M., Forni, L., & Pezzolla, E. (2020). Climate-change adaptation: The role of fiscal policy. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 59, 101111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2019.07.005
- Climate Policy Initiative. (2024). Global Landscape of Climate Finance 2024. https://www.climatepolicyinitiative.org/publication/global-landscape-of-climate-finance-2024/
- Crick, F., Gannon, K.E., Diop, M., Sow, M., 2018. Enabling private sector adaptation in sub-Saharan Africa. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Climate Change*, 9, e505.
- Davies M, Guenther B, Leavy J, Mitchell T (2009) *Climate Change Adaptation, Disaster Risk Reduction and Social Protection: Complementary Roles in Agriculture and Rural Growth?* IDS Working Paper 320.
- Debt Justice. (2024). Climate vulnerable countries debt payments highest in three decades. Debt Justice. https://debtjustice.org.uk/news/new-briefing-the-debt-fossil-fuel-trap
- Dookie, D.S. (2024). The essential role of climate information systems for early action interventions and resiliencefocused decision-making. Working Paper. London: ODI. www.odi.org/en/publications/role-of-climate-informationsystems
- Dookie, D.S., Conway, D., & Dessai, S. (2023). Perspectives on climate information use in the Caribbean. *Frontiers in Climate*, *5*, 1022721. https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2023.1022721
- Dookie, D.S., Enenkel, M. & Spence J. (2019) From Science to Science-based: Using state-of-the-art climate information to strengthen DRR in Small Island States. In W.H. Khonje and T. Mitchell (Eds) *Strengthening Disaster Resilience in Small States*. London: Commonwealth Secretariat. https://doi.org/10.14217/04f647b3-en
- Eriksen S H, and O'Brien K (2007) Vulnerability, poverty and the need for sustainable adaptation measures. *Climate Policy*, 7, 337-352.
- Gannon, K.E., Castellano, E., Eskander, S., Diop, M., Agol, D., 2022. The triple vulnerability of female entrepreneurs to climate risk in sub-Saharan Africa: Gendered barriers and enablers to private sector adaptation. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Climate Change*, 13, e793.
- Gannon, K.E., Conway, D., Pardoe, J., Batisani, N., Ndiyoi, M., Odada, E., Olago, D., Opere, A., Kgosietsile, S., Nyambe, M., Omukuti, J., Siderius, C., 2018. Business experience of El Niño associated floods and drought in three cities in in sub-Saharan Africa. *Global Sustainability*, 1, e14. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2018.14

- Gannon KE et al (2020). Private adaptation in semi-arid lands: a tailored approach to 'leave no one behind'. *Global Sustainability*, 3, e6,1–12. https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2019.26
- Gannon, K.E., Crick, F., Atela, J., Conway, D., 2021. What role for multi-stakeholder partnerships in adaptation to climate change? Experiences from private sector adaptation in Kenya. *Climate Risk Management*, 32. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2021.100319
- Gannon, K.E., Eskander, S.M., Avila, A., Castellano, E., Diop, M. & Agol, D. (2025). The role of gender in firm-level climate change adaptation behaviour: Insights from small businesses in Senegal and Kenya. *Climate Risk Management*, 48, 100699. DIO 10.1016/j.crm.2025.100699
- Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment (2024) *Submission to the United Arab Emirates Just Transition Work Programme topic for the second dialogue*. London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science.
- IIED. (2024). World's least developed countries spend twice as much servicing debts as they receive in climate finance. https://www.iied.org/worlds-least-developed-countries-spend-twice-much-servicing-debts-they-receive-climate-finance
- ILO (2024) World Social Protection Report 2024-26. Universal Social Protection for Climate Action and a Just Transition. International Labour Organization. https://www.social-protection.org/gimi/Media.action?id=10982
- IPCC (2022) Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-working-group-ii/
- Leiter T (2021) Do governments track the implementation of national climate change adaptation plans? An evidencebased global stocktake of monitoring and evaluation systems. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 125: 179-188. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1462901121002379
- Leiter T (2022) Too little, too slow? Climate Adaptation at the United Nations Climate Change Negotiations since the Adoption of the Paris Agreement. *Carbon & Climate Law Review*, 16(4): 243-258.https://cclr.lexxion.eu/article/CCLR/2022/4/5
- Leiter T (2023a) Submission on the Glasgow–Sharm el-Sheikh work programme on the Global Goal on Adaptation. Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment and Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy, London School of Economics and Political Science. https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/wpcontent/uploads/2023/03/Submission-on-the-Glasgow%E2%80%93Sharm-el-Sheikh-work-programme-on-the-Global-Goal-on-Adaptation.pdf
- Leiter T (2023b) Submission to the report on the doubling of adaptation finance. Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment and Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy, London School of Economics and Political Science. https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/publication/submission-to-the-reporton-the-doubling-of-adaptation-finance/
- Leiter T (2024a) *Modalities for the Indicator Work Programme under the Global Goal on Adaptation.* London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science. https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/publication/modalities-for-the-indicator-work-programme-under-the-global-goal-on-adaptation
- Leiter T (2024b) Considerations for the development of indicators under the framework for the Global Goal on Adaptation. London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science. https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/SubmissionsStaging/Documents/202408271544----Submission%20on%20the%20indicator%20work%20programme%20-%20Timo%20Leiter%20LSE%202024.pdf
- Leykun, F. (2024). Climate change and external debt vulnerability: The case of Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1), 81. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00416-1
- Paavola J and Adger W N (2006) Fair adaptation to climate change. Ecological Economics, 56, 594-609.
- Pelling M (2011) Adaptation to Climate Change. From Resilience to Transformation. London: Routledge.
- Popova, E., Vousden, D., Sauer, W. H. H., Mohammed, E. Y., Allain, V., Downey-Breedt, N., Fletcher, R., Gjerde, K. M., Halpin, P. N., Kelly, S., Obura, D., Pecl, G., Roberts, M., Raitsos, D. E., Rogers, A., Samoilys, M., Sumaila, U. R., Tracey, S., & Yool, A. (2019). Ecological connectivity between the areas beyond national jurisdiction and coastal waters: Safeguarding interests of coastal communities in developing countries. *Marine Policy*, 104, 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2019.02.050

Pelling M and Garschagen M (2019) Put equity first in climate adaptation. Nature, 569, 16 May 2019.

- Rumble O (2019) Facilitating African climate change adaptation through framework laws. *Carbon & Climate Law Review*, 13, 237.
- Schipper L (2006) Conceptual History of Adaptation in the UNFCCC Process. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law*, 15(1): 82-92.
- Setzer J and Higham C (2024) Global Trends in Climate Change Litigation: 2024 Snapshot. London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science.
- Setzer J and Higham C (forthcoming) *Global Trends in Climate Change Litigation: 2025 Snapshot.* London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science
- Siderius, C., Gannon, K.E., Ndiyoi, M., Opere, A., Batisani, N., Olago, D., Pardoe, J., Conway, D., 2018. Hydrological Response and Complex Impact Pathways of the 2015/2016 El Niño in Eastern and Southern Africa. *Earths Future*, 6, 2–22. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017EF000680
- Singh, C., Daron, J., Bazaz, A., Ziervogel, G., Spear, D., Krishnaswamy, J., Zaroug, M. and Kituyi, E., (2018). The utility of weather and climate information for adaptation decision-making: current uses and future prospects in Africa and India. *Climate and Development*, *10*(5), pp.389-405.
- Sridhar A, Dubash N, Averchenkova A, Higham C, Rumble C and Gilder A (2022) *Climate Governance Functions: Towards Context-Specific Climate Laws*. London: Centre for Policy Research, Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment and Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy, London School of Economics and Political Science and Climate Legal. https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/publication/climate-governance-functionstowards-context-specific-climate-laws/
- Tanner T and Mitchell T (2008) Entrenchment or enhancement: Could climate change adaptation help to reduce chronic poverty? *IDS Bulletin*, 39(4), 6–15.
- Tenzing J D (2020) Integrating social protection and climate change adaptation: A review. <u>Wiley Interdisciplinary</u> <u>Reviews Climate Change</u>, 11(2): e626.
- UNEP (2022) Adaptation Gap Report 2022. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Nairobi, Kenya. https://www.unep.org/resources/adaptation-gap-report-2022
- UNEP (2023) Adaptation Gap Report 2023. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Nairobi, Kenya. https://www.unep.org/resources/adaptation-gap-report-2023
- UNEP (2024) Adaptation Gap Report 2024. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Nairobi, Kenya. https://www.unep.org/resources/adaptation-gap-report-2024
- UNFCCC (2024a) 30 Years of Adaptation under the Convention and the Paris Agreement. Report by the Adaptation Committee.
- UNFCCC (2024b) Defining and understanding transformational adaptation at different spatial scales and sectors, and assessing progress in planning and implementing transformational adaptation approaches at the global level. Technical paper by the secretariat, 5 November 2024. https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/tp2024_08.pdf
- Venner, K., García-Lamarca, M., & Olazabal, M. (2024). The Multi-Scalar Inequities of Climate Adaptation Finance: A Critical Review. *Current Climate Change Reports*, 10(3), 46–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-024-00195-7
- Vincent, K. & Dookie, D.S. (2025). Applying a gender-sensitive lens to climate and weather information to increase equity and reduce business risk. GRI/LSE Commentary, Published 6 March 2025: https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/news/applying-a-gender-sensitive-lens-to-climate-and-weather-information-to-increase-equity-and-reduce-business-risk/
- Wang, J and Cerrato, D. (2024). Interconnected Justice: Understanding the cross-border implications of climate transition policies. Taskforce on Net Zero Policy. https://www.unpri.org/taskforce-on-net-zero-policy/interconnected-justice-understanding-the-cross-border-implications-of-climate-transition-policies/12853.article
- Wang J and Robins N (2024) *Submission to the United Arab Emirates Just Transition Work Programme topics for the dialogues*. London: Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science.