1. **Provocation**
2. Emergent Learning
3. Invitation To Collaborate

Scale of Peer Review:
Medium Internal

Rethinking Value, Price, and Provision for a Systems-Oriented World

From Commodity Economics to Contextual Economics

DRAFT Paper - **May 2025**

This beta paper seeks to map out what we believe is a crucial cornerstone for creating a **systems-financing future.** Until we can articulate and embed relational value within price, we will keep stumbling when trying to finance system-oriented goods—and, by extension, regenerative futures.

Executive Summary

Industrial economics assumes in many ways that value is discrete, fungible, and therefore discoverable through a single clearing price. But as production and reality entangles with biological, social, and digital feedback loops, value now increasingly emerges from context—from the relationship between an artefact, its user, and its environment. In this "contextual economics" landscape, universal pricing fails, poverty looks like the absence of viable relationships, and personalised monopolies lurk where bespoke supply meets opaque cost structures. This paper seeks to track the shift from commodity logic to contextual logic, documents weak signals already in play, surfaces the governance and pricing challenges, and proposes design principles for open, fair, and regenerative contextual markets and fundamentally lays the groundwork for a system financing future.

Author: Indy Johar

04 Dm

0 Why This Matters — From Single-Point Prices to System Value

Markets have always been our coordination engines. They tell investors where to place capital, signal households when to conserve or consume, and guide governments on where to intervene. When value was mostly object-centric—a tonne of steel, a kilowatt-hour—single-point prices worked well enough. Today, however, we face challenges that are systemic by nature: climate resilience, urban health, food-energy-water security, social cohesion. Their value chains sprawl across sectors, time horizons, and stakeholder groups.

Consider an urban forest:

Dimension	Traditional Price Lens	System-Value Lens
Immediate cash flow	Timber sales, real-estate premium	Cooling degree-day savings, asthma reduction, pollinator services, mental-health uplift
Beneficiaries	Landowner, developer	Utility, city budget, insurers, hospitals, residents, biodiversity networks
Financing model	One-off cap-ex or municipal grant	Blended stack: green bonds, avoided-energy PPA, pay-for-health-outcomes contract, biodiversity credits

A single stumpage price cannot capture that richness. System value is multi-layered and relational. Yet without a credible way to express and share that value, five dysfunctions emerge:

1. **Under-investment spiral** — Capital flows to projects with clear cash returns, starving systemic assets whose benefits are diffuse and time-lagged.
2. **Free-rider drag** — Actors who gain (insurers, utilities) can stay outside the payment loop, leaving early movers holding the bill.
3. **Mis-aligned incentives** — Agencies optimise their silo (e.g., energy cost) even if that externalises cost to another ledger (e.g., public health).
4. **Equity erosion** — Communities with “thin data” cannot document their contributions and are excluded from revenue streams they help create.
5. **Stagnant innovation** — Entrepreneurs avoid multi-solver ideas because the revenue architecture is opaque and hard to negotiate.
6. **Indiscriminate lending / allocation:** Money acts as a blended unit for all forms of value, thus credit is allocated towards non-optimal

and destructive activities without any form of forward accountability.

Contextual pricing—transparent cost-plus-risk stacks, multi-ledger settlement, solidarity rails—turns system value from an anecdote into a contractible asset. It:

- Mobilises capital by translating co-benefits into verifiable cash flows (cooling services into avoided peak-energy payments, biodiversity into tradable credits).
- Improves allocative efficiency because prices now signal true cost and risk rather than hiding them in externalities.
- Enables stewardship at scale—from urban forests to watershed co-ops—by fairly compensating every actor who sustains the system.
- Safeguards equity through data portability, sliding-scale protocols, and context-aware solidarity mechanisms.

Bottom line:

A system-oriented future—transition of cities, circular supply chains, resilient food webs—cannot be financed with a toolkit built for isolated widgets. Unless we reinvent how we measure, price, and share relational value, we will remain stuck in under-funded pilot projects and fragmented impact. Contextual economics provides the grammar and the ledger architecture to turn system ambitions into investable, equitable reality.

1 Introduction: Why Context Swamps Commodity

From Price Tags to Living Systems

Markets are storytellers. For more than a century their favourite plot has been the single-point price: one number that tells investors where to put capital, households when to spend or save, and governments when to nudge or regulate. That script worked when value was mostly locked inside discrete objects—a tonne of steel, a litre of fuel, a kilowatt-hour—whose costs and benefits could be counted at the factory gate.

Today’s challenges refuse to stay inside those borders. Urban heat islands, watershed health, chronic-disease burdens, supply-chain shocks, social cohesion—each is a braided system of materials, data, biology and behaviour. An urban forest, for instance, cools neighbourhoods, filters particulates, buffers floods, calms traffic, lifts property values, and boosts mental health. No single stumpage price can speak for all that.

In this systems-oriented world, value is relational and place-sensitive. It lives in the fit between an artifact, its users and its environment. When that fit is tight, co-benefits multiply; when it is loose, costs leak elsewhere. Commodity logic therefore fails twice over: it under-prices regenerative assets whose pay-offs are diffuse and time-lagged, and it over-prices bespoke solutions by hiding opaque margins and externalities.

A new grammar of contextual economics is emerging to fill the gap. It measures multi-layered system value, translates it into verifiable cash flows, and allocates rewards across every actor who sustains the whole. Transparent cost-plus-risk stacks, multi-ledger settlements and solidarity rails turn co-benefits from anecdotes into contractible assets, bringing capital, policy and community action into alignment.

The implication is clear: a future of net-zero cities, circular supply chains and resilient food-energy-water webs cannot be financed with a toolkit built for isolated widgets. We must reinvent how we discover, price and share relational value—or remain trapped in under-funded pilots and fragmented impact.

2 From Discrete to Relational Value

2.1 Where Value Now Resides

Industrial economics treats value as an intrinsic property of an artefact: cost of inputs plus a margin for risk and profit. Contextual economics, by contrast, treats value as an emergent property of a relationship—between maker and user, user and place, artefact and living system. The more a product or service must fit a specific microbiome, micro-climate, cultural norm, or moment in a life-cycle, the less informative its factory-gate cost becomes.

- Commodity lens: “What does it cost to produce one more unit?”
- Context lens: “How well does this intervention nourish the local web of needs, limits, and aspirations?”

2.2 Design Goal: From Efficiency to Sufficiency

Efficiency seeks to minimise inputs per output; sufficiency seeks to maximise consonance with purpose, place, and time. A zero-defect turbine blade is efficient, but a locally 3-D-printed spare that restores grid uptime today is sufficient. Sufficiency metrics therefore widen from dollars-per-unit to include regeneration rate, social reciprocity, and resilience dividends.

Driver	Commodity Regime	Contextual Regime
Dominant metric	Marginal cost	Fitness-for-context
Scarce factor	Capital, energy	Relational coherence
Optimisation aim	Throughput	Regenerative fit

2.3 How Relational Value Manifests

1. Fitness-for-context — Does this nutrition plan harmonise with my gut flora and cultural palate
2. Mutuality — Do benefits accrue to supplier and bioregion (e.g., fair soil-carbon splits)
3. Regenerative capacity — Does the transaction leave the ecological-social substrate stronger?

Weak Signals of Contextual Value

- Everlywell × Viome personalised nutrition — Protocols keyed to individual microbiomes; swapping plans degrades efficacy and can even harm gut diversity.
- Celo ReFi soil-carbon pilots in Kenya — Farmers are paid on verifiable sequestration curves, not Chicago Board of Trade maize futures.
- Glasgow neighbourhood micro-foundries — CNC and metal-additive printers fabricate one-off spare parts within 24 h, cutting nine-month global lead times and embedded freight emissions.
- Sri Lankan “micro-monsoon” rice varieties — Seed libraries circulate cultivars tuned to 5 km rainfall gradients, outperforming uniform Green-Revolution strains under climate volatility.
- Open-source hearing-aid shells in Oaxaca — Community FabLabs scan individual ear canals and print biodegradable shells, eliminating bulk import mark-ups and medical travel.
- Canadian First-Nations energy-sharing loops — Solar micro-grids price energy by seasonal community need and salmon-run timing, not by wholesale spot rates.

These signals all exhibit the same pattern: interchange the who or the where and value falls sharply, sometimes to zero. Their economic advantage arises not from cheaper inputs, but from tighter relational fit and the regenerative spill-overs that follow.

3 Price Discovery in a World of Micro-Contexts

Classical economics assumes a single clearing price emerges when many buyers and sellers meet in an open market—corn in Chicago, kilowatt-hours on a spot exchange. In contextual markets that assumption collapses. Each trade is embedded in its own slice of time, space, data, and trust; the notional “market price” shards into millions of one-off settlements.

There is no longer the price of an apple—only the price of this carbon-positive, heirloom apple grown in soil that matches your microbiome and delivered at your glycemic-load window.

The consequences play out along at least three fault-lines:

#	Fracture Line	What It Means
1. Hyper-variation	Every buyer now presents a different demand curve shaped by genome, location, real-time biomarkers, cultural norms, and risk tolerance.	• Bulk “average-price” indexes mislead investors and planners.• Procurement must price thousands of SKU-equivalents instead of one commodity code.• Insurers struggle to pool risk when each treatment protocol is n=1.
2. Algorithmic opacity	Matching engines personalise offers via proprietary data and black-box models; the buyer sees only a take-it-or-leave-it number.	• Cost build-up (inputs, mark-ups, risk buffers) becomes invisible, inviting extraction.• Regulators find no public benchmark against which to prove unfair pricing.• Trust decays as users sense they are “flying blind.”
3. Relational poverty	Value surfaces only when the parties share contextual intelligence—data, trust signatures, and network access. Lacking those, people are effectively priced-out even if they have cash.	• Rural clinics can’t source precision-drug regimens because they lack genomic labs.• Smallholder farmers without soil sensors can’t qualify for carbon-credit pre-payments.• Elderly or low-digital-literacy groups pay loyalty penalties on utilities and insurance they cannot easily compare.

Snapshot Examples -

- **Dynamic ride-hailing** fares swing up to 10 × within a single city block because surge algorithms factor local traffic flow, event calendars, and rider history —hyper-variation in action.
- **Streaming-platform subscriptions** now ladder prices not just by HD/4 K quality but by viewer psychographics and churn-risk scores —opaque personalisation at scale.
- **Targeted cancer therapies** (e.g., KRAS-G12C inhibitors) can list above US $300 k per patient per year; each quote reflects tumour genotype, prior-line resistance, and insurer-specific risk models, leaving patients with little visibility into cost build-up —personalised-medicine opacity.
- **Community energy co-ops** that publish open cost-plus-risk ledgers show ~20 % lower default rates; neighbours have the relational capital to verify each other’s consumption data—an antidote to relational poverty.

Some Implications for Designers & Policymakers

1. **Context-rich order books** — Marketplaces must expose the parameters behind each personalised quote so third parties can audit fairness and help users compare like with like.
2. **Verifiable cost stacks** — Mandating machine-readable provenance (inputs, mark-ups, risk premiums) restores a benchmark against which both buyers and watchdogs can negotiate.
3. **Contextual solidarity rails** — Sliding-scale or mutual-credit layers ensure that those without deep data histories can still access essential personalised goods and services.

In short, price discovery no longer converges—it diffuses. Unless new transparency and solidarity mechanisms are built in, contextual markets risk amplifying inequality and eroding trust faster than they create value.

4 Multi-Solving Interventions and the Contextual Price Paradox

4.1 Why One Intervention = Many Outcomes

When solutions are context-tuned they rarely address a single pain-point; they multi-solve across ecological, social, and economic layers. A precision-nutrition programme, for example, can simultaneously:

1. **Reverse diabetes** → cuts direct NHS treatment costs and absenteeism.
2. **Strengthen local farm capacity** → secures regional food resilience and jobs.
3. **Lower meal-carbon intensity** → shrinks a city's Scope-3 emissions.
4. **Boost self-efficacy** → raises long-term wellbeing and civic participation.

The richer this benefit stack, the less intelligible a single scalar price becomes.

Friction	Why It Arises	Typical Consequence
Distributed beneficiaries	Savings and gains disperse across households, insurers, grid operators, and treasury departments.	No single budget holder sees a pay-back big enough to act.
Time-lagged returns	Chronic-disease reversal, topsoil build-up, and skill pipelines mature over years.	Discounting future cash-flows grossly undervalues collective upside.

Valuation blindness	Ledgers capture energy kWh and hospital admissions but ignore self-efficacy, pollinator habitat, or cultural vibrancy.	Decision-makers under-invest in high-leverage, intangible assets.

Paradox: The greater the co-benefit density, the harder it is to express value as a unit price—exactly the opposite of commodity logic.

4.2 Illustrative Multi-Solvers

Intervention	Tangible Outputs	Hidden/Undervalued Co-Benefits
Social-prescribing gardens	Reduced GP visits (–11 % in UK pilots)	Improved diet diversity, social cohesion, storm-water buffering, biodiversity corridors
Community e-bike libraries	Lower transport costs, 0-emission trips	Cardiovascular health gains, retail footfall boost, grid-battery demand-response capacity
Circular maker-spaces	Diverted waste streams, low-cost goods	Apprenticeships, entrepreneurship, tool-literacy, community resilience
Deep-retrofit "energiesprong" blocks	60–80 % heat-demand cut	Reduced fuel poverty, asset-value uplift, local green-job creation, improved cognition from better IAQ
Mangrove restoration bonds	Carbon credits	Hurricane surge protection, fisheries nursery grounds, tourism amenity

4.3 Design Implications & Instruments

1. **Composite contracts:** Braid cash-flows from health, energy, insurance, and ecosystem service budgets into one settlement schedule. Example: a Health-Carbon PPA where an employer, an NHS Integrated Care Board, and a city carbon fund co-pay a diet-optimisation provider.
2. **Multi-ledger dashboards:** Render physical, financial, and relational metrics side-by-side so that investors and communities can see where each dollar lands. Open-source templates already track carbon + biodiversity; next step is to add wellbeing and learning-hours modules.

3. **Outcome-pooling funds:** Blended-value vehicles (e.g., ReFi liquidity pools or municipal “stacked-bond” issuances) pre-pay suppliers for diffuse benefits, then receive performance-linked streams from multiple agencies. Think of it as an index fund for co-benefits.
4. **Pay-for-success primitives:** Smart contracts trigger micropayments when verifiers upload proof: HbA1c thresholds, nest-box occupancy, kWh shaved at peak. Zero-knowledge attestations protect privacy while certifying impact.
5. **Solidarity price bands:** Sliding-scale protocols tie the floor price to a transparent cost-plus-risk stack and allocate a surplus tier to under-served contexts, ensuring nobody is priced out of multi-solver access.
6. **Regenerative credit marketplaces:** Beyond carbon, launch exchanges for co-benefit credits—pollinator points, quiet-night hours, or youth-employment tokens—so that value that refuses to fit into £/unit can still be contracted, traded, and scaled.

Bottom line: Multi-solving interventions shatter the myth of a single “fair” price. To unlock their full yield, we must stitch together plural payers, plural ledgers, and plural time horizons—effectively turning finance, procurement, and impact verification into a collaborative choreography rather than a one-off price tag.

5 Risks of Context-Specific Lock-In

Tailored supply, if left unchecked, can harden into personalised monopolies—walled gardens where the more precisely a product fits you, the harder (and costlier) it becomes to leave.

Risk Vector	What It Looks Like in Practice	Why It Matters
Systemic extraction	A genome-specific cancer drug, a smart-home platform, or a hyper-personalised insurance policy sets renewal prices unilaterally; switching imposes re-sequencing fees, device replacements, or loss of loyalty credits.	Maximum rent is harvested from the users least able to re-negotiate or port their context data.
Data asymmetry	The supplier captures microbiome strains, driving-style telematics, or soil-sensor data but the user sees only a paywall and a polished dashboard.	Users cannot audit, recombine, or move the raw data that generate value—locking innovation and bargaining power on the supply side.

Unequal representation	"Thin-data" groups—rural clinics, low-income households, minority dialect speakers—get shallow context profiles, leading algorithms to ignore or mis-price them.	Contextual markets risk algorithmic invisibility for already-marginalised populations, deepening inequality.

Personalised-Medicine Flashpoint

- **Price spike** — First-line precision-oncology therapies (e.g., KRAS-G12C inhibitors) routinely exceed US $300 k per patient per year.
- **Opaque mark-ups** — Licensing fees for companion diagnostics, exclusive data sets, and pay-per-allele royalties remain undisclosed.
- **Exit costs** — Patients who switch providers must often re-test tissue samples because data formats and bio-informatics pipelines are proprietary.
- **Downstream impact** — Without open ontologies, public data vaults, and cost-plus-risk transparency, whole health-care systems risk insolvency while patent-holders capture supra-normal rents.

5.1 Lock-In Amplifiers to Watch

- **Single-vendor IoT ecosystems** — Regional smart-ag platforms bundle seeds, sensors, and AI advice behind one cloud subscription; farmers lose seed sovereignty and face algorithmic price rises tied to land-risk scores they cannot verify.
- **Closed biofeed loops** — Wearables that generate personal metabolic data also feed proprietary nutrition algorithms; cancelling the subscription deletes historical baselines, sabotaging continuity of care.
- **Contextual advertising walls** — Retail media clouds price-discriminate via hyper-local footfall data; local shops cannot compare rates and end up over-paying for "hot-zone" inventory they helped create.
- **Spatial compute monopolies** — AR navigation apps charge tiered fees to overlay context-specific safety or accessibility cues; public-right-of-way knowledge becomes pay-gated.

5.2 Design & Governance Safeguards

Lever	Practical Move	Early Signals
Open standards	Mandate interoperable data schemas (e.g., FHIR for health, AgGateway for farming) plus secure data-portability APIs.	EU Data Act portability clauses; US FAST bill for agriculture telemetry.

Data fiduciaries & vaults	Deposit personal or community context data in trust-anchored vaults; grant suppliers revocable access under fair-use covenants.	Swash Data Union pilots; Solid Pods for health records.
Relational antitrust	Measure dependency graphs—how many essential functions hinge on one supplier's context engine—rather than mere market share.	UK CMA exploring dependency metrics for cloud ecosystems.
Contextual price caps	Where switching barriers are high (e.g., rare-disease drugs), peg mark-ups to a transparent cost-plus-risk benchmark validated by independent oracles.	Germany's AMNOG negotiation model; proposed NHS value-based cap trials.
Algorithmic representation audits	Require suppliers to publish demographic coverage maps and error bars; impose "minimum viable context" standards.	Mozilla's Contextual Integrity Review template; Singapore's Model AI Governance Framework.

Key Take-away:

Context specificity is double-edged: the same granularity that unlocks regenerative fit can entrench dependency asymmetries if governance lags behind. Robust interoperability, data self-determination, and relational antitrust are the guard-rails that keep bespoke supply from mutating into personalised rent-extraction.

6 Transparent Cost-Plus-Risk Pricing — Making Context Count in Every Penny

6.1 Why Radical Transparency Is No Longer Optional: In a bespoke marketplace the buyer cannot rely on "what everyone else paid" to check fairness; the item in front of them might be literally the only one of its kind. The only credible safeguard is to illuminate the full cost-plus-risk stack, so that any actor—patient, regulator, community auditor—can interrogate where each unit of value comes from and where each margin goes.

Transparency pays because…	Without it we get…
Caps extraction. Hidden mark-ups and information rents lose oxygen when every line item is on-chain.	Personalised monopolies that charge whatever the algorithm thinks you can bear.
Clarifies contribution. Multi-solver projects splinter marginal cost; a visible stack shows which input drives which outcome.	Misallocation of subsidy and credit; the wrong actors get rewarded or penalised.
Enables evidence-based policy. Regulators work from verifiable ground truth instead of industry self-report.	Endless forensic audits, lagged rule-making, and policy capture.

6.2 Anatomy of a Contextual Price Stack

Layer	What It Includes	Verification Primitive	Typical Range*
1. Base inputs	Raw materials, labour hours, embedded energy, cap-ex amortisation.	Open-ledger IDs; digital product passports; IoT metering.	40-60 %
2. Contextual externalities	Local eco-social impacts: soil depletion, traffic noise, gender-pay gap, cultural IP royalties.	Tokenised impact fees; biodiversity or heritage credits.	–5 % to +20 % (may be negative if net-positive externalities)
3. Transformation margin	Design, micro-fabrication, data science tailoring, IP licences.	Public mark-up schedule linked to Git commits & CAD logs.	10-30 %
4. Risk premium	Supply volatility, clinical trial uncertainty, climate hazard delta.	Parametric insurance or prediction-market oracles; ZK risk attestations.	0-15 %
5. Collaborative surplus	Pre-agreed share of upside (e.g., avoided NHS spend, carbon offsets) returned to contributors.	Smart-contract escrow with multi-sig release keyed to outcome verifiers.	–10 % to +25 %

*Illustrative percentages for orientation only; actual mix is context-dependent.

Design note: Layers 2 and 5 are where contextual markets prove their worth. They internalise location-specific externalities and flow some of the upsides back to the ecosystem that generated them.

6.3 Tooling the Transparency Stack

1. **Machine-readable cost passports** — Extend the EU Digital Product Passport concept to service blueprints (e.g., hospital pathways, software supply chains).
2. **Zero-knowledge (ZK) attestations** — Suppliers publish mathematical proofs that cost elements fall within declared bounds without revealing trade secrets or personal data.
3. **Continuous assurance oracles** — Sensors, ERP hooks, and satellite feeds stream telemetry directly to ledgers, shrinking audit lags from months to minutes.
4. **Explainable AI bill-of-cost APIs** — Recommendation engines must expose the weighted factors behind personalised pricing so watchdogs can replicate decisions.

6.4 Pathways to Adoption

- **Regulatory carrots & sticks** — Offer fast-track market access or rebate multipliers for suppliers that publish PID-compliant cost stacks; penalise opaque personalised services in essential domains (health, water, mobility).
- **Anchor-buyer coalitions** — City authorities, hospital trusts, and Fortune 500 procurement clubs can mandate transparent stacks in RFPs, shifting norms in one purchasing cycle.
- **Open-source reference stacks** — Libraries of typical cost-plus-risk templates (for solar + storage, precision oncology, circular textiles) cut adoption friction for SMEs.
- **DAO-governed assurance pools** — Community-owned funds stake deposits against the veracity of published stacks; dishonest actors forfeit their stake to a common treasury.

6.5 What Success Looks Like

- Patients see a cancer-drug price broken down into genomic-licence fees, manufacturing cost, risk buffer, and an NHS pay-for-cure bonus—and can contest any slice in real time.
- Farmers verify a soil-carbon offset payment with a QR scan that reveals satellite NDVI trends, lab-grade carbon assays, and the insurer’s drought-risk model.
- Consumers buy a sneaker whose NFT tag shows wage premiums paid at each micro-factory, the river-health fee in the dyeing district, and the community profit-share that flows back if the shoe’s materials are up-cycled.

Transparent cost-plus-risk pricing re-anchors trust. It shifts the competitive game from “Who can hide margin the best?” to “Who can genuinely reduce cost, risk, and harm the fastest—while sharing upside with the communities that make value possible?”

7 Supply as Co-Creation, Not Mere Distribution

7.1 Why Throughput No Longer Defines Scale:

In a context-rich economy the scarcest resource is not inventory but capability close to need. The classic factory sought ever-cheaper per-unit costs through mass throughput. A contextual value chain, by contrast, seeks ever-finer fit through a distributed lattice of micro-capacities—digital design tools, local fabrication, and peer learning communities that can pivot on demand. Scale now accrues as the density of places able to make what they need when they need it, not as the tonnage exiting a single gate.

Industrial Logic (20th C)	Contextual Logic (21st C)
Economies of volume	Economies of variety & proximity
Central hub + global spoke	Mesh of modular, local nodes
Consumer = end-point	Consumer ↔ Producer roles fluid
IP siloed inside firms	IP shared via open smart trusts

7.2 Illustrations of Distributed Capability

- Neighbourhood micro-factories 3-D-print tailored nutrition bars, spare-part inserts, or adaptive orthotics within 24 h, eliminating weeks of shipping and inventory obsolescence.
- Community bioreactors culture place-specific probiotics or biofertilisers, turning local organic waste into high-value inputs tuned to regional soils or gut microbiomes.
- Open design libraries (e.g., Wikifactory, the Nouns DAO hardware repo) let citizens fork artefacts—be they solar-dryer panels or modular roof slates—to match micro-climates, materials at hand, or cultural aesthetics.
- Mobile fab-labs on wheels bring CNC routers, looms, and laser cutters to rural schools and refugee camps, converting latent creativity into locally relevant products.
- Digital twin cooperatives share simulation models of dwellings, watersheds, or urban blocks, so communities can co-design retrofit kits and nature-based flood buffers that fit their exact geometry and risk profile.

7.3 How Provision Becomes Participatory

1. Role fluidity — A citizen might download a parametric shelter design in the morning, laser-cut parts at the makerspace in the afternoon, and sell derivative kits online by evening.
2. Peer accreditation — Quality assurance migrates from factory QC lines to distributed reputation ledgers where peers certify skills, material batches, and safety compliance.
3. Commons-funded tooling — Local DAOs or municipal bonds finance shared high-capex machinery (5-axis mills, bio-printers) that would be uneconomic for any single user.
4. Iterative stewardship — Products ship with "maintenance genomes": open BOMs, repair tutorials, upgrade recipes—so users co-evolve artefacts instead of discarding them.

7.4 Design & Policy Implications

Lever	Action Point	Early Signal
Distributed capital	Offer tax credits or zero-interest loans to capability hubs that serve a 5 km radius.	France's "Fabriques de Territoire" grant scheme.
Open hardware licences	Embed copy-left clauses ensuring derivative designs remain open, preventing new silos.	CERN OHL v2 adoption by medical-device communities.
Micro-credential loops	Pair makerspace usage with micro-badges recognised by vocational colleges.	MIT Fab Academy + UNESCO pilot in Costa Rica.
Regenerative procurement	Let cities count local fabrication rate and end-of-life circularity as award criteria.	Amsterdam's circular tender for modular bridges.

7.5 Take-Away

When heterogeneity is the rule, the sharp line between producer and consumer dissolves. Value arises in the co-creative dance among open designs, shared machines, local materials, and situated know-how. Policy that still imagines supply as a one-way distribution pipe will miss the next productivity curve—the curve that measures how fast and how fairly communities can turn context into capability.

8 Research & Design Agenda — Making Contextual Pricing Work for Everyone

Moving from commodity logic to contextual logic unlocks an enormous design space—yet it is also a governance minefield. Below is an expanded roadmap for researchers, civic technologists, policy-makers, and capital stewards who want to turn contextual pricing into a public-good infrastructure rather than a personalised-rent machine.

#	Priority Arena	What to Prototype in 2025-27
1. Context-aware price-discovery algorithms	• Open-source matching engines that accept multi-dimensional orders—soil texture, genomic markers, cultural holidays—instead of a single bid/ask number.• Trust-weighted order books where bids include verifiable cost-plus-risk proofs (hash-linked to open ledgers) instead of unverifiable "willingness to pay."	How do we keep latency and gas fees low when each order carries a data payload? What governance prevents model drift toward extraction?
2. Solidarity rails in bespoke markets	• Sliding-scale contracts anchored to (a) a transparent cost floor and (b) a commons-support surplus so no participant feels extracted.• Mutual-credit networks that let data-rich or high-income contexts underwrite thin-data or low-income peers, with automatic balancing via protocol rules.	What solidarity mechanism best handles shock events (pandemics, floods) without imploding? How do we prevent "context tourism" where affluent users masquerade as low-data profiles to gain subsidies?
3. Multiplex payment rails for multi-solving & multi-attribution	• Multi-sig / smart-contract escrows that split a single payment stream across health budgets, carbon funds, and local suppliers as outcomes are independently verified.• Benefit-splitting tokens (e.g., H-CARB tokens) that drip value to each ledger—health, energy, ecosystem—according to real-time oracle feeds.	How granular should attribution be to stay audit-able but not drown in oracle fees? Can aggregated micropayments be net-positive for small community actors?
4. Institutional & legal scaffolding	• Live pilots of data unions, platform co-ops, and purpose trusts as custodians of contextual data + cost stacks.• "Relational DAO" charters embedding anti-extraction	Which corporate forms best let communities revoke data access or renegotiate terms? How do international IP treaties handle forked open hardware?

	covenants, plural-stakeholder voting, and mission locks.	
5. Privacy-by-design provenance chains	• Zero-knowledge (ZK) proof circuits so suppliers can attest to cost-plus-risk stacks without revealing trade secrets or personal data.• Standard ZK templates for emissions, labour hours, capital cost, verified on public or permissioned ledgers.	What cryptographic primitives scale on mobile devices in low-bandwidth regions? Who pays for the compute of perpetual ZK audits?
6. AI fiduciary agents for humans and ecosystems	• Agents trained on public ontologies of wellbeing, planetary boundaries, and personal preference profiles; required to log negotiations in an auditable, explainable format.• Licensing regimes imposing a statutory duty of care so agents cannot optimise private profit at the expense of ecological or social thresholds.	Can we formalise “duty of care” in machine-readable policy? How do we sandbox self-learning behaviours that might re-introduce bias or extraction?
7. Metrics, evaluation & sandboxes	• Alignment scorecards combining cost transparency, context fit, and solidarity contributions.• Regulatory sandboxes in at least three jurisdictions (global North & South) to test procurement rules, consumer protections, and tax treatments for contextual markets.	What baseline metrics signal “contextual market health” across sectors? How do we let small actors participate in sandboxes without prohibitive legal overhead?
8. Capability-building & tooling commons	• Open curriculum for contextual-pricing literacy—how to read cost stacks, fork open designs, and issue ZK attestations.• Subsidised contextual-design studios inside vocational colleges and public libraries.	How fast can skills propagate to thin-data communities? Which pedagogies reduce intimidation with cryptographic or ledger tech?
9. Distributed hardware & biofab testbeds	• Micro-factory clusters and community bioreactors operating under transparent cost-plus-risk ledgers to stress-test supply-as-co-creation models.• Evaluate environmental, social, and economic spill-overs over 24-month cycles.	What minimum viable governance keeps testbeds open while protecting IP where genuinely novel?
10. Relational antitrust analytics	• Build dependency-graph dashboards that show how many essential functions hinge on one supplier’s context engine.• Develop policy triggers	How to balance innovation incentives with early

	(e.g., “Guardrail Score < 0.65 ⇒ investigation”).	intervention on incipient personalised monopolies?

North-Star Question:

How can every community—regardless of data richness or purchasing power—access contextual goods and services without submitting to personalised rent-seeking?

Answering that question requires an integrated research programme that treats algorithms, legal structures, finance, and pedagogy as co-evolving pieces of the same puzzle.

9 Open Questions

The shift from commodity logic to contextual economics is not a straight-line upgrade; it is a multi-dimensional redesign of price discovery, legal form, data governance, and capital flow. Prototypes are already live—from zero-knowledge cost stacks to neighbourhood micro-factories—but each rests on unresolved issues that could stall scale-up or let extraction creep back in.

The matrix below distils the most pressing uncertainties into fifteen “gating questions.” Together they form the due-diligence checklist for researchers, civic technologists, investors, and regulators who want to shepherd contextual markets from promising pilots to trusted public-good infrastructure.

Arena	Guiding Question(s)	Why It Matters
1. Context-Aware Price Discovery	How can open matching engines carry multi-dimensional bids (e.g., soil texture, genomic markers) without prohibitive latency or gas fees? What public-interest governance stops algorithmic drift toward extraction?	Personalised clearing is the system’s “CPU.” If it slows or tilts toward rent-seeking, the whole model stalls or mistrust builds in.
2. Transparency of Cost-Plus-Risk Stacks	What minimum data fields let buyers audit fairness while still shielding competitive IP via ZK proofs? Who certifies oracles and mediates disputes when sensor streams disagree?	Radical transparency is the new antitrust. Get the schema or the assurance layer wrong and either secrecy or chaos returns.
3. Solidarity Rails & “Relational Poverty” Gaps	Which sliding-scale or mutual-credit designs cope best with shocks (pandemics, floods)	Without robust solidarity rails, contextual markets replay the digital divide in a harsher key:

	yet deter “context tourism” by affluent actors? How should surplus be sized and distributed to thin-data communities?	price discovery works, but only for those with data wealth.
4. Multiplex Payment & Attribution	How granular must outcome splits be (health : carbon : biodiversity) to remain audit-able yet affordable for small actors? Do micro-escrow fees or oracle costs erase community profit?	Multi-solvers die if the plumbing that credits co-benefits costs more than the benefits themselves.
5. Institutional & Legal Scaffolding	Which corporate forms (data unions, platform co-ops, purpose trusts) give communities real veto and exit rights over contextual data? How will forked open-hardware designs be treated under international IP treaties?	Legal form is the “operating system” for power. Mis-specify it and lock-in or litigation throttles innovation.
6. Privacy-by-Design Provenance	Can mobile-grade hardware run continuous ZK attestations at planetary scale? Who foots the compute bill for perpetual audits in low-margin sectors?	Privacy defaults must work for rural clinics on 3G as well as city hospitals on 5G—otherwise exclusion creeps back in.
7. AI Fiduciary Agents	What machine-readable definition of “duty of care” prevents agents from optimising private gain over ecological or social thresholds? How can self-learning systems be sandboxed to stop bias or extraction drift?	Agents will negotiate millions of bespoke prices. If they’re not legally and technically aligned, personalised monopoly risk multiplies.
8. Metrics, Evaluation & Regulatory Sandboxes	What composite indicators signal a healthy contextual market (e.g., Context-Fit Score × Transparency Index × Solidarity Ratio)? How can micro-enterprises afford sandbox participation without pro-bono legal squads?	Policymakers need dials that don’t lie—and SMEs need entry tickets that don’t bankrupt them.
9. Capability & Skill Diffusion	How fast can contextual-pricing literacy and cryptographic tooling spread to thin-data or low-resource regions? Which pedagogies demystify ZK proofs and ledger tech for non-coders?	No skills, no uptake; no uptake, the entire paradigm remains a white-paper exercise.
10. Distributed Hardware & Biofab Testbeds	What governance keeps local micro-factories open and interoperable while still	Physical testbeds are the proof-points; they also risk

	rewarding genuine invention? Which environmental and social metrics must be tracked over 24-month pilots to prove net-positive spill-overs?	becoming new silos unless governance is nailed early.
11. Relational Antitrust & Dependency Mapping	How should "dependency scores" be calculated, and what threshold should trigger a remedies investigation? Can we curb extraction before market share looks monopolistic?	Contextual monopolies can form long before classical market-share alarms ring. Dependency analytics are the early-warning radar.
12. Quantifying Intangibles & Time-Lagged Co-Benefits	Which valuation techniques best capture self-efficacy, cultural vibrancy, or biodiversity uplift so they can sit credibly beside £ and CO_2e? How do we prevent heavy discounting of benefits that mature over decades?	If intangible or long-horizon value stays invisible, capital will keep fleeing to short-cycle commodity plays.
13. Governance of Open Standards & Protocols	Who convenes and updates the universal ontologies for cost stacks, co-benefit tokens, or data-portability APIs? What global-to-local mechanism ensures the Global South is a rule-maker, not a rule-taker?	Common plumbing must not become the next enclosure; inclusive rule-setting is the antidote.
14. Business-Model Viability Under Transparent Margins	Will firms still innovate if profit pools shrink under full line-item disclosure? Can novel revenue (e.g., stewardship bonuses) compensate for thinner margins?	The transparency shift must still leave room for risk-weighted returns—otherwise R&D capital evaporates.
15. Transition Finance & Investor Appetite	Which de-risking structures (blended-finance stacks, guarantee funds) lure mainstream capital into first-wave contextual pilots? What exit pathways satisfy both regenerative time horizons and venture timetables?	Without capital at scale, contextual economics stays in pilot mode; without fair returns, capital stays away.

These initial fifteen questions mark the fault-lines where theory meets the messy realities of engineering, governance, and human behaviour. Answering them—technically, legally, financially, and culturally—is the decisive next step in turning contextual economics into an engine of regeneration rather than the next frontier of extraction.

10 Conclusion — Economics After the Clearing Price

The age of the single “market price” is closing. Contextual economics shows that markets are not neutral stages where objects are shuffled for the highest bid; they are living tapestries of situated relationships—between people, places, ecologies, and data flows. In this tapestry, price is no longer the verdict of impersonal supply and demand; it is a trace that records five layered negotiations:

- True resource cost
- Local externalities internalised
- Creative work of transformation
- Risk borne and shared
- Surplus returned to the commons

When those layers are transparent and portable, price informs; when they are hidden, price deceives and extracts.

- Poverty in a contextual world appears less as an empty wallet and more as a broken web of relations—missing data, thin trust, scarce local capability.
- Prosperity registers as regenerative fit—the degree to which a community’s provisioning loops reinforce the health of its people and its biome over time.

Achieving that prosperity will take:

- New ledgers that publish cost-plus-risk stacks in machine-readable, privacy-respecting form.
- New governance that measures dependency graphs, enforces data portability, and rewards co-benefit creation.
- New cultural reflexes that replace “What’s the market rate?” with “What context makes this valuable, to whom, and for how long?”

The challenge is formidable, but the reward is an economy where value accelerates regeneration rather than depletion, where innovation competes on lowering true cost and risk, and where every community can co-create the goods and services it needs—in full view of the relationships that make those goods matter in the first place.

Ultimately, the technical interventions outlined above hint at a deeper pivot: markets are evolving from price-clearing calculators for discrete goods into adaptive nervous systems for living, overlapping complexities. Where classical theory treated the economy as a complicated machine that could be optimised by tuning a few levers, contextual economics recognises it as an ever-learning ecology of relationships whose health depends on feedback, diversity, and reciprocity. Re-wiring our pricing logic is therefore not a marginal efficiency upgrade; it is a civilisational redesign that will reshape how we define wealth, allocate authority, and narrate progress. The real stakes lie in whether this new market grammar can foster cultures

of stewardship rather than extraction—helping societies navigate uncertainty together instead of competing for certainty alone.

11 Emerging Vocabulary — A Growing Lexicon for Contextual Economics

- ***Contextual economics*** *— A paradigm in which value, cost, and risk are relational and place-sensitive; price is read as a trace of context-fit, not as an immutable global number.*
- ***Multi-solving*** *— The craft of designing interventions that deliver stacked, cross-domain benefits (e.g., health + carbon + jobs) and therefore defy single-ledger valuation.*
- ***Relational capital*** *— The stock of trust, shared history, narratives, and norms that lubricate contextual exchange and shrink both transaction and verification costs.*
- ***Provisioning commons*** *— Shared physical or digital infrastructures—micro-factories, open-design libraries, data-trust vaults—that lower the threshold for community co-creation and hedge against personalised monopolies.*
- ***Cost-plus-risk stack*** *— A machine-readable provenance chain that itemises base inputs, local externalities, transformation costs, risk premia, and collaborative surplus, anchoring trust in bespoke markets.*
- ***Collaborative surplus*** *— The negotiated slice of upside (e.g., avoided NHS spend, soil-carbon revenue) that flows back to contributors once a multi-solver proves its outcomes.*
- ***Hyper-variation*** *— The condition in contextual markets where each buyer faces a unique demand curve, making average price indexes and bulk forecasts unreliable.*
- ***Relational poverty*** *— Exclusion that stems not from lack of cash, but from lack of the data, trust, or network access needed to surface contextual supply.*
- ***Personalised monopoly*** *— A lock-in dynamic in which a tailored solution becomes indispensable to an individual or ecosystem, enabling extraction through captive dependence*
- ***Solidarity rails*** *— Sliding-scale protocols, mutual-credit loops, or blended-value funds that keep bespoke goods and services affordable while maintaining a commons-support surplus.*
- ***Economies of proximity*** *— Productivity gains that arise when capability is located near need, measured in reduced lead-time, freight emissions, and mis-fit wastage.*
- ***Capability lattice*** *— The distributed mesh of micro-factories, community bioreactors, and local design nodes that achieves scale through variety and adaptability rather than through mass throughput.*

Operating In good faith (not an IP clause) Version 3.1

We distribute this document, in order to build shared acknowledgement that the problem analysis, concepts, strategies, ideas and innovations outlined herein are the culmination of years of dedicated investment and understanding. We have crafted this document with the expectation that our partners will appreciate the significance of this groundwork and collaborate with us to not only refine these proposals but also explore their viability and practical implementation together in good faith.

Furthermore, we feel it's important to acknowledge at the outset we are committed to fostering openness and wide accessibility by making these strategies, ideas and innovation for public benefit in due time.

This approach seeks to ensure we can build partnerships necessary for innovation, respecting the work, labour and care invested and that our collective knowledge and experience can be shared widely, allowing others to adopt, adapt, and expand upon our work, thus contributing to broader, community-wide benefits.

We trust that our partners will honour the spirit of respect, endeavour, transparency and cooperation that defines this work, as we all work to achieve viable and impactful outcomes.

—---